

0040-4039(94)01826-X

Stereoselective Synthesis of β-Amino Hydroxylamines

Manfred T. Reetz**), Dirk Röhrig*, Klaus Harms* and Gernot Frenking*

^aMax-Planck-Institut f
ür Kohlenforschung, Kaiser-Wilhelm-Platz 1, 45470 M
ülheim/Ruhr, Germany
 ^bUniversit
ät Marburg, Fachbereich Chemie, Hans-Meerwein-Strasse, 35043 Marburg, Germany

Abstract: Chiral γ -N,N-dibenzylamino-substituted α , β -unsaturated carboxylic acid esters and dicarboxylic acid esters, prepared in enantiomerically pure form from L-amino acids, undergo diastereoselective Michael addition reactions with nitrogen nucleophiles of the type Me₃SiNHOSiMe₃ and CH₃NHOH; the products are novel β -amino hydroxylamine derivatives having two defined stereogenic centers.

Chiral alkylidene-malonic acid esters of the type 1, accessible in enantiomerically pure form from Lamino acids, have been shown to undergo stereoselective conjugate addition reactions with R₂CuLi and RMgX with formation of the corresponding anti-configurated γ -amino acids.^{1,2} We now report that nitrogen nucleophiles. specifically hydroxylamines, also react stereoselectively in a 1,4-manner. Whereas primary amines such as PhCH₂NH₂ add to 1 mainly at the ester function with undesired formation of the amides,² the hydroxyl-amine Me₃SiNHOSiMe₃ undergoes smooth conjugate addition simply by stirring the components in CH₂Cl₂ at room temperature in the absence of catalysts:³



Upon performing a similar reaction with N-methyl-hydroxylamine, stereoselective addition was also observed. However, in this case concomitant ring-closure with formation of the novel isoxazolidinones 4 / 5 occurred.



Of four possible diastereomers only two are observed. We ascribe these to 4/5 in which the additional stereogenic center bearing the ester group is formed in a completely stereoselective manner. Whereas the 1,2-stereochemical relation of the nitrogen functions is certain (see below), the configurational assignment of the additional stereogenic center is currently unclear. The vicinal coupling constants of the ring H-atoms amount to -5.4 Hz, which may indicate a cis-relationship. Diastereoselectivity of CH₃NHOH-addition is thus slightly lower than in the reaction of the bulky reagent Me₃SiNHOSiMe₃.

The enantiomerically pure mono-esters 6 are known to react with R_2CuLi to afford preferentially the corresponding syn-configurated conjugate addition products,¹ although these substrates are less reactive and require Me₃SiCl as an additive in such cuprate reactions. Indeed, upon reacting 6 with Me₃SiNHOSiMe₃, an extremely sluggish reaction set in which is not synthetically useful. In contrast, CH₃NHOH reacted to form the isoxazolidones 7/8. The reaction is slower and less stereoselective than the analogous process involving the more reactive Michael acceptors 1. The reaction of 6a is over after one day, whereas the bulky substrate 6c requires 7 days (incomplete conversion). Nevertheless, substrates 6 make reversal of diastereofacial selectivity possible (compare 4 vs. 8).



The configurational assignment of the major isomers 8 was made on the basis of an X-ray structural analysis of 8b derived from L-phenylalanine (Fig. 1).⁴ In the 4/5 series, 4b was decarboxylated using NaCl/DMSO/H₂O, which afforded 7b, obtained previously as the minor isomer in the reaction of 6b. Although not checked in all cases, it is likely that the products are enantiomerically pure, especially in view of the fact that the starting materials 1 and 6 are pure (ee > 98%).¹ In order to gain definite proof, the adduct 2b was subjected to hydrogenolysis using Pd/C, which led to the cleavage of the N-O bond. The corresponding primary amine was reacted with the R- and S-configurated "Mosher chlorides".⁵ In each case the ¹H-, ¹³C- and ¹⁹F-NMR spectra showed a single set of signals, proving enantiomeric purity (ee > 98%).²





The origin of diastereofacial selectivity in the reactions of the diesters 1 is readily explained by invoking 1,3-allylic strain.⁶ Using the *N*,*N*-dimethyl analog (of 1a). force field calculations $(MM2)^7$ were carried out.² Two conformers A and B were identified as energy minima, B being more stable by 12.5 kJ. This nicely corresponds to qualitative expectations based on 1,3-allylic strain. Nucleophilic attack from the si-side (cf. B) would afford the anti-adducts (as observed in the case of the reaction of esters 1).



Upon turning to the mono-esters 6, the situation becomes more complicated.^{1.8} Similar conformers were found,² but the difference in energy is almost negligible (1.4 kJ/mol). Thus, special effects in the transition state appear to be responsible, which need to be studied more closely by other theoretical methods.⁹

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (SFB 260 and Leibniz-Programm).

References and Notes

- Reetz, M. T.; Röhrig, D. Angew. Chem. 1989, 101, 1732-1734; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1989, 28, 1706-1709; Reetz, M. T. Angew. Chem. 1991, 103, 1559-1573; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1991, 30, 1531-1546.
- 2. Röhrig, D. Dissertation, Universität Marburg, 1991.
- 3. Procedure: A diester 1¹ (0.25 mmol) is dissolved in dry CH₂Cl₂ (1.0 ml) and treated with N,O-bis(trimethylsilyl)hydroxylamine (0.5 mmol) at 22°C under an atmosphere with N₂ for 18 h. The mixture is quenched with 2 ml of aqueous 2% HCl-solution and extracted twice with CH₂Cl₂. The combined org. phases are dried over MgSO₄. After removal of the solvent, the residue is chromatographed over SiO₂ (pet. ether/ether 4 : 1) to afford the anti-adducts 2 in the yields shown.
- 4. The X-ray data have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, University Chemical Laboratory, Lensfield Road, Cambridge CB2 1EW, England.
- 5. Dole, J. A., Dull, D. L., Mosher, H. S. J. Org. Chem. 1969, 34, 2543-2549.
- 6. Hoffmann, R. W. Chem. Rev. 1989, 89, 1841-1860.
- Burkert, U.; Allinger, N. L., "Molecular Mechanics", 2. ed., American Chemical Society, Washington, D. C. 1987; MacroModel (Version 1.1) according to W. C. Still was used in the calculations.
- In certain chiral allylic systems application of the principle of 1,3-allylic strain in the conventional way may lead to the wrong prediction: Rectz, M. T.; Kayser, F.; Harms, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 3453-3456; see also Barrett, A. G. M.; Weipert, P. D.; Dhanak, D.; Husa, R. K.; Lebold, S. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 9820-9824.
- 9. MO-Treatment: Bernardi, A.; Capelli, A. M.; Gennari, C.; Scolastico, C. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1990, 1, 21-32.

(Received in Germany 13 September 1994; accepted 16 September 1994)